

Tools, Languages, and Environments Used in Primary and Secondary Computing Education



Dr. Monica McGill
Knox College & CEdResearch.org



Dr. Adrienne Decker
University at Buffalo

Thanks!



This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. 1625005, 1625335, 1757402, 1745199 and 1933671. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Introduction

- Tools, Languages, and Environments (TLEs) for teaching programming have been a hot-button long-debated topic in computing education for decades
- Teaching computing in K-12 has brought the same problem into those classrooms, i.e. what is “best”?, what should I use?

Research Question

What tools, languages, and environments (TLEs) used to teach computing to primary and secondary students and teachers are most frequently studied by researchers and reported on in practice via experience reports?

Background

- Grover & Pea (2013) - Comprehensive review of CT in K-12 included tools used to support
 - CSTA Standards, College Board Advanced Placement (AP) Exams, CSforAll, Code.org
- ITiCSE Working Group (2018) - TLEs for introductory programming
- Census of Australian University use of TLEs (2001, 2003, 2010, 2013, 2016)

Methodology

- Definition of TLE?
- Scratch?
- Physical Manipulatives?

Working definition: Formal materials that have been studied in research studies or mentioned in experience reports as part of the overall student experience

Methodology

Dataset: Articles in csedresearch.org from years 2012-2018 inclusive

Count and percentage were computed for TLEs used:

- in informal and formal learning environments across the entire dataset
- in professional development (PD) studies
- in studies of students (total), those used in research studies of students, and those used in experience reports of teaching students
- across the countries where students were located

Most reported TLEs in research and experience reports

Article count

(includes students & teachers as learners)?

TLE	#	%
Scratch	85	14.0%
Java	25	4.1%
Python, AppInventor	24	4.0%
Alice, Arduino (non-LilyPad)	22	3.6%
CS Unplugged, Lego	21	3.5%
Lego Mindstorms	19	3.1%
Pololu 3PIs	12	2.0%
Greenfoot	11	1.8%
C#, HTML, Alice, Lego NXT, Processing	8	1.3%
AgentCubes, CSS, Snap!, Logo	7	1.2%

N=605

Number of learners

(includes students & teachers as learners)?

TLE	#	%
Scratch	116,723	55.9%
AgentSheets	11,064	5.3%
AgentCubes	10,413	5.0%
Alice	7,393	3.5%
Java	6,751	3.2%
CSS	6,673	3.2%
Python	6,354	3.0%
Arduino (Not LilyPad)	6,163	3.0%
Bebras Challenge	6,081	2.9%
Greenfoot	6,001	2.9%

N=208,806

Most reported TLEs in research and experience reports

Article count

Primary & Secondary Students Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	77	14.4%
Java	24	4.5%
AppInventor	21	3.9%
Arduino (not LilyPad), Python	20	3.7%
Alice, CS Unplugged	17	3.2%
Lego Mindstorms	15	2.8%
Greenfoot, Pololu 3PIs	10	1.9%
C#, Processing, HTML, Alice 2.2	8	1.5%
CSS, Logo, Lego NXT, AgentCubes, Snap!	7	1.3%
EarSketch, JavaScript, LilyPad Arduino	6	1.1%

N=535

Number of learners

Primary & Secondary Students Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	116,723	55.9%
AgentSheets	11,064	5.3%
AgentCubes	10,413	5.0%
Alice	7,393	3.5%
Java	6,751	3.2%
CSS	6,673	3.2%
Python	6,354	3.0%
Arduino (Not LilyPad)	6,163	3.0%
Bebras Challenge	6,081	2.9%
Greenfoot	6,001	2.9%

N=208,071

Most reported TLEs in research studies

Article count

Primary & Secondary Students Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	45	18.5%
AppInventor, CS Unplugged	12	4.9%
Alice	10	4.1%
CS Unplugged, Lego Mindstorms, Python	7	2.9%
C#	5	2.1%
CSS, Logo, Snap!, Lego NXT, Kodu	4	1.6%

N=243

Number of learners

Primary & Secondary Students Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	13,953	18.6%
AgentCubes, AgentSheets	10,000	13.3%
Alice	6,731	9.0%
CSS	6,648	8.8%
Java	6,240	8.3%
Greenfoot	5,878	7.8%
CS Unplugged	1,720	2.3%
Bootstrap	1,674	2.2%
C#	1,337	1.8%
Jypeli	1,018	1.4%

N=75,196

Most reported TLEs in experience reports

Article count

Primary & Secondary Students Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	32	11.0%
Java	17	5.9%
Python, Arduino (not LilyPad)	13	4.5%
AppInventor	9	3.1%
Lego Mindstorms	8	2.8%
Pololu 3PIs, Greenfoot, Alice	7	2.4%
Processing	6	2.1%
C++, CS Unplugged	5	1.7%
Alice 2.2, HTML, AgentCubes	4	1.4%

N=290

Number of learners

Primary & Secondary Students Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	102,580	77.0%
Bebras Challenge	6,081	4.6%
Python	5,941	4.5%
Arduino (not LilyPad)	5,869	4.4%
AppInventor	1,126	4.4%
AgentSheets	1,057	0.8%
Scalable Game Design	894	0.7%
Alice 2.2	656	0.5%
Alice	600	0.5%
Java	507	0.4%
Pololu 3PIs	438	0.3%

N=133,274

Most reported TLEs in experience reports

Article count
Teacher PD Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	8	10.8%
Alice	5	6.8%
CS Unplugged, Lego Mindstorms, Python	4	5.4%
AppInventor	3	4.1%
Java, Bebras Challenge, Arduino (not LilyPad), Alice, Lego NXT-G, Polulo 3PIs, Scratch Jr., Microsoft Kinect, Google Drive	2	2.7%

N=75

Number of learners
Teacher PD Only

TLE	#	%
Scratch	299	47.3%
CS Unplugged	71	11.2%
NetLogo	66	10.4%
Alice	62	9.8%
HTML	32	5.1%
Python	22	3.5%
Moodle	19	3.0%
Stencyl	16	2.5%
AgentCubes, AgentSheets, Scalable Game Design	7	1.1%
Lego Mindstorms, Lego NXT-G	5	0.8%

N=635

Discussion & Limitations

- Confirms findings from Grover & Pea (2013)
- Confirms that Scratch is the most vetted TLE across a cross-section of grades (including teachers)

- Limitation: Data in research papers and experience reports and curated in cse.du.edu/csedresearch.org

Discussion & Limitations

- What types of studies are still needed with respect to tools, languages, and environments to understand their efficacy in primary and secondary settings?
 - How can we map existing TLEs against K-12 standards to find gaps based on the needs of primary and secondary computing education?
- What would a current, comprehensive taxonomy/ontology for classifying TLEs look like as computing education expands in to K-12?
 - Construction of a Taxonomy for Tools, Languages, and Environments across Computing Education - ICER 2020

Conclusion

- It is important to understand the impact of TLEs on academic achievement, including social-behavioral factors like self-efficacy and sense of belonging
- It is also important to understand the context in which TLEs are used (e.g., topics, student demographics).
- This study serves as a basis for future work.

Thank you! Questions?

Dr. Monica McGill
Knox College & CSEdResearch.org
monica@csedresearch.org
Twitter: @VirtuallyFine

Dr. Adrienne Decker
University at Buffalo
adrienne@buffalo.edu
Twitter: @AdrienneMDecker

Follow CSEdResearch.org on Twitter and Facebook!
[@csedresearch](https://twitter.com/csedresearch) / [csedresearch.org](https://www.facebook.com/csedresearch.org)

[csedresearch.org](https://www.csedresearch.org)