

# Growing the Quantity and Quality of Research Focusing on Broadening Participation in K-12 Computer Science Education using [cseiresearch.org](http://cseiresearch.org)

Monica McGill, Ed.D., Knox College, Galesburg, IL  
Adrienne Decker, Ph.D., University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY



This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. 1625005, 1625335, 1757402, and 1745199

# What if...

...we could at the push of a button find the best-fit K-12 CS education practices for teaching a given target demographic group based on empirically-based data?

Perhaps data that is crowdsourced--provided by researchers and practitioners across the nation?

# A start to making it happen

- [cse-research.org](https://cse-research.org) – a framework for data curated from articles related to K-12 CS education as well as evaluation instruments related to computing
- Emphasis on “start”

# Our story

- Began several years ago....
- Both were teaching in game curriculum programs
- Both were conducting research related to gender in games and game curriculum programs
- Realized that there wasn't much research at the time on the lack of women in the game industry
- Turned to the research in CS and realized that there was a lack of empirically-based research on outreach activities and broadening participation

# NSF Proposal

- Based on systematic literature reviews, we empirically concluded that there was a dearth of quality research in this area at the time
- The two original primary objectives for this 5-year project are:
  - Identify, review, and analyze past and current pre-college computing activities and their impact on participants to determine the major influencing variables
  - Create and implement a formal process for collecting data related to pre-college computing activities, including major influencing variables, necessary for educational researchers to be able to evaluate and analyze the long-term impact of these activities

# Phase I

- Identify and compile past and current pre-college computing activities across the nation. The information collected should include: nature and description of activity, duration of activity, number of participants, demographic information about participants, information about future college/career choices of participants.
- Create a publicly available archive of collected information about past and current pre-college computing activities, including information about impacts of participation. The data will be presented in a general format available for further analysis.
- Identify variables (independent and dependent) of past and current pre-college computing activities that are necessary for analyzing long-term impact of these activities, including specifics about the activity itself to provide individual context of the participants.

# Phase II

- Synthesize the variables into a standardized, validated survey instrument
- Establish a process for collecting and analyzing:
  - Short-term participant data from pre-college computing activity coordinators and
  - Long-term participant data from participants through an automated, voluntary tracking system
  - Recollective participant data from college-age participants who have participated in pre-college computing activities
- Collect and analyze an initial set of data through the efforts of the research team along with a select group of pre-college computing activity coordinators
- Create and distribute resources, materials, and tools, including materials for IRB review, for collecting future data to be added to the archive

# Resource created

[csedresearch.org](http://csedresearch.org) – Soft launch March 2018, hard launch October 2018

@csedresearch – Launched December 2018



# Articles

- 500+ articles
- 10 targeted venues (ACM and IEEE journals and conference proceedings, CSE)
- 2012 – 2018 (2018 data added in December 2018)
- Data manually curated, undergoes two reviews
- Users can now submit their own articles

General	Evaluation Measures	Student Demographics	Instructor Demographics	Learning Activity Components	CSTA (In Progress)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Article title</li> <li>• Author name and email</li> <li>• Abstract (and page number)</li> <li>• DOI/url</li> <li>• Venue</li> <li>• Publication year</li> <li>• Report type</li> <li>• Focus area</li> <li>• Basic study design</li> <li>• Research approach</li> <li>• Research question(s) or experience report description</li> <li>• Was gender analyzed in the article?</li> <li>• Was race/ethnicity analyzed in the article?</li> <li>• Was socio-economic status analyzed in the article?</li> <li>• General curriculum framework taught? (AP CS A, AP CS Principles, etc.)</li> <li>• Specific concepts taught</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What was measured</li> <li>• Measurement type (Field notes, surveys, enrollment,, etc.)</li> <li>• Measurement frequency (longitudinal, pre-intervention, post-intervention)</li> <li>• Related notes on measurement</li> <li>• Was effect size reported?</li> <li>• What values were reported (alpha, chi-squared, count, effect size, percentage, etc.)</li> <li>• Instrument used (if named)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total # students in study</li> <li>• Student age</li> <li>• Student grade</li> <li>• Student gender</li> <li>• Student race/ethnicity</li> <li>• Student disabilities (including if services are received )</li> <li>• Student SES</li> <li>• Prior experience of students</li> <li>• Location(s) of students (country, state, city/region, school, etc.)</li> <li>• Additional notes for student demographics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total # of instructors in study</li> <li>• Prior experience teaching computing</li> <li>• Instructor Race/Ethnicity</li> <li>• Instructor Gender</li> <li>• Who taught the activity/class (grad student, 11<sup>th</sup> grade teacher, etc)</li> <li>• Additional notes for instructor demographics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Activity goals</li> <li>• Activity learning objectives</li> <li>• Curriculum used (home grown, established curriculum/program, etc.)</li> <li>• Average # of students in class</li> <li>• Tool/language used</li> <li>• Type of activity (camp, classroom activity, CS ed week, etc.)</li> <li>• When activity was offered (after school, summer, during school hours, etc)</li> <li>• Elective and/or required</li> <li>• Open or closed assignment(s)</li> <li>• All teaching methods (lab, lecture, on the job training, pair programming, etc.)</li> <li>• Assignment(s) overview</li> <li>• Activity duration (minutes, hours, etc.)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strand</li> <li>• Level</li> <li>• Category</li> <li>• Component</li> </ul>



# Repository

Search the Repository



Hint: Separate phrases with commas (exploring computer science, high school, 2016)

## Filters

Clear Filters

### Focus Area

- Activity
- Curriculum
- Learner
- Professional Development

### Student

#### Age

#### Grades

- Elementary
  - Preschool
  - Kindergarten
  - 1st
  - 2nd

#### Gender

## Results (45 articles found)

Results per Page: 10

### Focus Area:

Area: Activity

### Student Filters:

- Grades: Preschool
- Grades: Kindergarten
- Grades: 1st
- Grades: 2nd
- Grades: 3rd
- Grades: 4th
- Grades: 5th

[A Middle-School Module for Introducing Data-Mining, Big-Data, Ethics and Privacy Using RapidMiner and a Hollywood Theme](#)

Amber Dryer, Nicole Walia, Ankur Chattopadhyay | ACM SIGCSE (2018)

[Bricklayer: Elementary Students Learn Math through Programming and Art](#)

Michelle Friend, Michael Matthews, Victor Winter, Betty Love, Deanna Moisse, Ian Goodwin | ACM SIGCSE (2018)

[Culturally-Centric Outreach and Engagement for Underserved Groups in STEM](#)

Danielle Cummings, Loretta Cheeks, Rosario Robinson | ACM SIGCSE (2018)

[Demonstrating the Ability of Elementary School Students to Reason about Programs](#)

Ashish Aggarwal, David S. Touretzky | ACM SIGCSE (2018)

# Evaluation Instruments

- Over 100+ instruments collected (General, STEM, CS)
  - Largest concentration on CS (over 50 instruments)
- Manually curated information about instruments
- Linked to articles that use the instrument
- Users can submit their own evaluation instruments for inclusion

Type	Demographic	Year Published	Assessed	Number of Questions	Cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General</li> <li>• STEM</li> <li>• Computing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PreK-5<sup>th</sup></li> <li>• 6<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup></li> <li>• 9<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup></li> <li>• Undergrad</li> <li>• Grad/Pre-service</li> <li>• PD</li> <li>• Instructors</li> <li>• Parents</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2010-2019</li> <li>• 2000-2009</li> <li>• 1990-1999</li> <li>• 1980-1989</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated</li> <li>• Assessed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-15</li> <li>• 16-30</li> <li>• Etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fee</li> <li>• Pay to Access</li> </ul>

If (Type == "Computing")				
Content Knowledge	Student Engagement	Learning Strategies	School Climate	Social-Familial Influences
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Computational Thinking</li> <li>• Algorithm Analysis</li> <li>• Etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Behavior</li> <li>• Cognition</li> <li>• Affect</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cognitive</li> <li>• Meta-cognitive</li> <li>• Behavioral</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Academic Emphasis</li> <li>• Teacher Variables</li> <li>• Leadership</li> <li>• Other</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parent/Family</li> <li>• Peer Influences</li> </ul>
Constructs Listed Below these Noncognitive Categories				

# Evaluation Instruments (Beta)

## Filters

Clear Filters

## Results (50 Instruments Found)

Results per Page: 10

### Type

- Computer Science
- STEM
- General

### Demographic

- PreK - 5th
- 6th - 8th
- 9th - 12th
- Undergraduate
- Graduates/Pre-Service
- Professional Development
- Instructors
- Parents

### Student Content Knowledge

- Computational Thinking

Type : Computer Science

#### [Algorithm Analysis Concept Inventory](#) | 2016

"The final exam was based on a pilot version of the Algorithm Analysis Concept Inventory (AACI) that was developed to target fundamental efficiency concepts and probe students mis ... [Read More](#)

#### [BASICS Study Student Implementation Questionnaire](#) | 2017

[URL](#) | [PDF](#)

"The questionnaire is organized into three sections: (1) items and scales for measuring implementation of the ECS curriculum, (2) items and scales for measuring contextual factors ... [Read More](#)

#### [BASICS Study Teacher Implementation Questionnaire](#) | 2016

[URL](#) | [PDF](#) | [Ms Word](#) | [Qualtrics](#)

"The questionnaire is organized into four sections: (1) items for capturing school/class background information, (2) items and scales for measuring implementation of the ECS curric ... [Read More](#)

#### [BASICS Study Teacher Interview Guide](#) | 2016

[URL](#) | [PDF](#) | [Ms Word](#) | [Qualtrics](#)

"The semi-structured Interview Guide focuses on teacher use of the ECS curriculum and teacher perceptions of the supports and barriers to implementing the curriculum. It was created ... [Read More](#)

# Our data

- MySQL database
- Free and available for usage
- Requests for limited data are also being fulfilled (exported to spreadsheet)

# Site Feedback/Enhancements

- Does this meet the current needs of the ECEP community?
- How could the site be improved/enhanced to make it more useful to you?
- Are you willing to submit articles or evaluation instruments to grow our set of data?



# Contact information

[csedresearch.org](http://csedresearch.org)

@csedresearch

Adrienne Decker

@AdrienneMDecker

adrienne@buffalo.edu

Monica McGill

@virtuallyFine

mmmccgill@knox.edu